

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,732	01/28/2004	Barry Hoberman	6812-0301 3469		
39207 75	590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER		
SACCO & AS	SSOCIATES, PA	WEAVER, SUE A			
P.O. BOX 3099	99		======		
PALM BEACH	I GARDENS, FL 33420-0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3727		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/766,732	HOBERMAN ET A	AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sue A. Weaver	3727				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
THE MAILING DATE OF T - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma - If the period for reply specified abov - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or ext	HIS COMMUNICATION. e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 illing date of this communication. re is less than thirty (30) days, a reply oove, the maximum statutory period w ended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON date of this communication, even if timely file	imely filed ys will be considered timely in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is FINAL	. 2b)⊠ This	ction is non-final.					
· ··	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance	with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)	m(s) is/are withdraw e allowed. <u>d 21-32</u> is/are rejected. are objected to.						
Application Papers	·	·					
9)⊠ The specification is o	•		d to by the Examin	er			
,	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 January 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing	sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is o aminer. Note the attached Offic	bjected to. See 37 CF	* *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9						
12) Acknowledgment is m a) All b) Some * c 1. Certified copie 2. Certified copie 3. Copies of the c application from	nade of a claim for foreign c) None of: s of the priority documents s of the priority documents certified copies of the prior m the International Bureau	have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv	tion No /ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTG)		4) 🔲 Interview Summa					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/04. 		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 3727

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "105" has been used to designate both front and rear edges. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the covered pad claimed in claims 7,22 and 29 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate

Art Unit: 3727

changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

Art Unit: 3727

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: applicants are advised that there is no line "5-5" as described in the brief description of Figure 6.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants are advised that the limitations in claim 30 appear to be double inclusions of those set forth in claim 26.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen '952, cited by applicants.

Art Unit: 3727

Note the straps 32, 36 which are considered to extend form opposite sides of the case to secure a computer. The hook and loop material provide latches while the extended length provides for length adjustment. Note also the elastic and buckles of Chen at 36.

5. Claims 2-4, 15-19, 26 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Marceau '001, cited by applicants.

To have provided the case with a closure flap which has a latch point position adjuster would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Marceau in Figure 2.

Note also the strap length adjuster at 18

6. Claims 6-8 and 21-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and further in view of Vermillion et al '090.

To have provided the straps with padding to protect the computer would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Vermillion et al. Note the covering at 80 and 82.

7. Claims 9.24, 25 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 6 and 21 above, and further in view of Langhoff '839.

To have merely added an elastic section with a strap having padding for adjustment would have been obvious in view of Langhoff teaching such a combination in a restraint strap.

Art Unit: 3727

8. Claims 5 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shyr et al, Golenz et al, Hollingsworth, Hollingsworth et al, Sadow, Jung and Cunningham show cases for computers. Skamser, Leader et a;, Connor, Crawford, Platte, III and Washburn show flap constructions.
- 10. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on _______.
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: ______.

Art Unit: 3727

Certificate of Transmission

Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Registration Number:
Please refer to 37 CER 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (571) 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday (6-4:30).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eug A. Mearar Eug A. Mearar Princip Exeminer

最初的1000mm 100mm 100mm